

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈಗ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ನೀರಾ ವರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ 290 ಎಕರೆಗೆ ನೀರು ಸರಬರಾಯಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸಿ 800 ಎಕರೆಗಳಿಗೂ ನೀರನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ 7,40,628 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಪಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕೆಲಸ ಉಳಿದಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಅತ್ಯಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲೇ ಪೂರೈಸುವ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ರೈತರಿಗೆ ದೊರೆಯಬೇಕಾದ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಇನ್ನೂ ದೊರೆಯದೆ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಅಕ್ವಿಸಿಷನ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಫೈನಲೈಸ್ ಆಗದಿರುವುದೇ ಕಾರಣ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ಅಕ್ವಿಸಿಷನ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದದ್ದು ಯಾವಾಗ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಗಳು ತಯಾರಾಗುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಸರ್ವೆ ಆಗಿ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದರ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ತಯಾರಾದ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಲು ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ಅಕ್ವಿಸಿಷನ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದದ್ದು ಯಾವಾಗ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ತಾರೀಖು ನನ್ನ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ವಾಯಿತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ನನ್ನ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, this is a matter in which the interests of ryots are involved. The question was sent in due time and if the Minister cannot say when the acquisition proceedings were started and why the ryots have not got compensation, what else can the Hon'ble Members expect from him ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The Hon'ble Members need not have any apprehension that I would like to keep any information from them. This is a point which has arisen from supplementary questions. If this point was referred to in the original question I would have gladly got the information and furnished it to members. Even now if members want, I shall call for the information and furnish it to them later.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚಾಮಯ್ಯ.—68 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಗಳ ಅಂದಾಜು ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈಗ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಟ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದೆಷ್ಟು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—68 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು.

### Proposal to constitute a "Technical Audit Cell".

\*Q.—22. Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA (Arsikere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they propose to constitute a 'Technical Audit Cell' in the State to supervise the work done by the Public Works Department ;

(b) if so, when ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) and (b) The matter is under the consideration of Government.

Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Since when is this matter under the consideration of Government ?

†Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—This question was taken up after a Press Note was seen in the Press that the Government of India have thought of setting up such a Cell.

Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Since when ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.— A few months ago.

Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Do Government not think that it is essential to constitute this Technical Audit Cell to check up the extravagant expenditure of Government funds ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Government have gone deep into this question and have come to a tentative decision that it may not be desirable to set up such a Cell.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Has it not come to the notice of Government that during the last Budget Session the Finance Minister promised such a reform of having a Technical Audit Cell ?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—I cannot say now off-hand what exactly the Finance Minister said on that occasion. I want notice.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—May I know why Government arrived at the decision not to have an audit cell of this kind?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—An audit cell of this kind will only multiply the agencies for supervision. We have now enough technical supervision agencies.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—My question is why Government arrived at the decision that this kind of Technical Audit Cell is not desirable?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—I can give the reasons that prompted Government to arrive at this tentative decision. The Superintending Engineer will have to be appointed as the head of the Technical Audit Cell to find fault with the execution of works by the Chief Engineer and he will have to make a report. There will be practical difficulties in this. That is the first reason.

The second reason is that in case of difference of opinion between these technical men, namely, the Superintending Engineer who happens to be the head of the Technical Audit Cell and the Chief Engineer who happens to be the head of the executive, the matter will have to be referred to a third party outside the State and that will again complicate the matter and will entail delay.

The third reason is that this Technical Audit Cell will provide an excuse to the officers to delay matters, because when the Technical Audit Cell goes there and calls for some information and asks them to stop or makes some new suggestions, then on that ground the officers will go on delaying as it would provide them an opportunity to delay things.

The fourth reason is that we have already enough of agencies in order to technically audit these works and the proposed Technical Audit Cell will be a fifth wheel in the coach.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Is it the view of Government that technical audit is unnecessary in Mysore State?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—Not at all.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Then what prevailed on Government to take a contrary decision?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—We have already enough agencies for technical audit which are functioning and doing this particular function which the Technical Audit Cell is supposed to do.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Is the Government aware that in a large number of cases, the actual amount spent on various projects is far in excess of the original estimates and that it is due to the absence of technical audit in a large number of cases?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—It is incorrect. It is due to defective preparation of estimates and defective investigation and not for want of supervision by the technical audit.

**Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.**—The Minister had given us so many reasons for not constituting the Technical Audit cells. May I know the reasons that prompted the Minister to consider the proposal at all?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—I said in the beginning itself that we saw a press report which said that the Government of India had decided to constitute Technical Audit Cells. Immediately the administration branch took up the matter and we examined thoroughly as to why we should also not constitute such cells. After detailed examination, we came to the conclusion tentatively that there were several technical audit agencies in this State and it would not be desirable to create another technical audit agency.

**Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.**—Is it an authoritative press note?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—It was a press report and the matter was taken up on the initiative of the administration branch of this Government.

**Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.**—In view of the fact that the press report emanated from the Government of India, was it not necessary for this Government to have referred the matter to the Central Government?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—It is not necessary at all.

**Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.**—Was any expenditure incurred in considering this proposal and tentatively rejecting it?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—Absolutely none.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Is the creation of such cells one of the recommendations of the Madhava Rau Commission which went into this question?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—I am not aware.

**Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.**—Is the Government aware that Technical Audit cells are functioning in the Central Government?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—That is true.

**Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.**—May I know why this Government came to a different conclusion?

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—I have already submitted several reasons. Technical audit is already being carried out by several agencies in this State and it is a matter consideration whether these cells are at all necessary. After considering the matter thoroughly, Government came to the provisional conclusion that constituting technical audit cells of the type contemplated by the Government of India was unnecessary, and, if I may add, the Reorganisation Committee appointed for the purpose of reorganising the P.W.D. has suggested a modified cell and that is being examined. There is also another proposal as to whether a technical audit wing with competent authority should not be

provided to help the existing efficiency audit. All these are being examined.

### District Board Roads maintained by Tumkur District Board.

\*Q.—3. **Sri K. P. REVANNA SIDDAPPA (Tiptur).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of District Board roads together with their mileage in Tiptur, Chikkanaikanahalli and Turuvekere Taluks maintained by the Tumkur District Board;

(b) the amount of annual grant sanctioned each year for each road during the past two years;

(c) the amount spent on each road during 1957-58 and 1958-59;

(d) the amount spent on special repairs by the District Board on each road during 1957-58 and 1958-59;

(e) the names of new roads sanctioned and the number of roads under formation together with the sanctioned amount during the said period;

(f) the number of roads that are sanctioned and not yet taken up?

A.—**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).**—

|                       | No. of roads. |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| (a) 1. Tiptur         | 18            |
| 2. Chikkanaikanahalli | 26            |
| 3. Turuvekere         | 19            |
|                       | 63            |

(For details regarding mileage vide Statement 'A' appended).

(b) and (c) Vide Statement 'B' appended.

(d) Vide Statement 'C' appended.

(e) Vide Statement 'D' appended.

(f) Nil.